arXiv:1611.02457v1 [astro-ph.EP] 8 Nov 2016

Corrected Newtonian potentials in the two-body problem with applications

M.-C. Anisiu[1]

and

I. Szücs-Csillik[2]

Romanian Academy, Tiberiu Popoviciu Institute of Numerical Analysis, Cluj-Napoca

and

Romanian Academy, Institute of Astronomy, Astronomical Observatory Cluj-Napoca

Received _____; accepted _____

ABSTRACT

The paper deals with an analytical study of various corrected Newtonian potentials. We offer a complete description of the corrected potentials, for the entire range of the parameters involved. These parameters can be fixed for different models in order to obtain a good concordance with known data. Some of the potentials are generated by continued fractions, and another one is derived from the Newtonian potential by adding a logarithmic correction. The zonal potential, which models the motion of a satellite moving in the equatorial plane of the Earth, is also considered. The range of the parameters for which the potentials behave or not similarly to the Newtonian one is pointed out. The shape of the potentials is displayed for all the significant cases, as well as the orbit of *Raduga-1M 2* satellite in the field generated by the continued fractional potential U_3, and then by the zonal one. For the continued fractional potential U_2 we study the basic problem of the existence and linear stability of circular orbits. We prove that such orbits exist and are linearly stable. This qualitative study offers the possibility to choose the adequate potential, either for modeling the motion of planets or satellites, or to explain some phenomena at galactic scale.

Subject headings: Celestial Mechanics; Newtonian potential; Two-body problem; Circular orbits

1. Introduction

The idea of modifying the original $1/r$ Newtonian potential starts with Newton himself. In his *Principia* he has already proposed a potential of the form $A/r + B/r^2$ and studied the relative orbit in this case too. Potentials of this type have been physically justified later by Maneff (also spelled Manev), in a series of papers starting with Maneff (1924). A deep insight in the Maneff field can be found in Diacu et al. (2000).

In this paper we deal with an analytical study of some corrected Newtonian potentials. The study is motivated by the fact that nowadays many authors consider various corrected Newtonian potentials without being concerned whether those potentials have or have not the properties of the Newtonian one.

It is known that the Newtonian potential μ/r, regarded as a function of r, satisfies the following conditions:

(i) $\lim_{r\to 0+} = +\infty$;

(ii) $\lim_{r\to+\infty} = 0$;

(iii) it decreases from $+\infty$ to 0 as $r \in (0, +\infty)$.

Its graph is the dashed one in Fig. 3.

We offer a complete description of some corrected potentials, for the entire range of the parameters. All the corrected potentials are central and inhomogeneous; their role is important, for example, in the inverse problem of dynamics (Bozis et al. 1997; Anisiu 2007).

We consider potentials derived recently by Abd El-Salam et al. (2014) from continued fractions, as well as the logarithmically corrected Newtonian potential introduced by Mücket & Treder (1977). We identify those which satisfy the conditions (i)-(iii) (at least

for a certain range of the parameters), as the Newtonian potential does. These potentials are suitable to be used to explain some phenomena in the motion of satellites or planets.

As an application we choose to model the orbits of *Raduga-1M 2* satellite (launch date 28 January 2010) using the corrected Newtonian potentials. *Raduga-1M* satellites are military communication satellites, and are the geostationary component of the Integrated Satellite Communication System, where they work in conjuction with the highly eccentric orbit *Meridian* satellites.

When a relative orbit is designed using a very simple orbit model, then the control station of the formation will need to continuously compensate for the modeling errors and burn fuel. This fuel consumption, depending on the modeling errors, could drastically reduce the lifetime of the spacecraft formation. Using the corrected potentials can reduce the modeling errors (Szücs-Csillik & Roman 2013).

Some potentials, as the logarithmically corrected one, do not satisfy at least one of the conditions (i)-(iii), and they can be used to model the motion at galactic scale. For example, such a potential was considered in cosmologies which avoid to involve dark matter (Kinney & Brisudova 2001), or to study the rotation curves of spiral galaxies (Fabris & Pereira Campos 2009).

Exponentially corrected potentials (Seeliger 1895) are also of interest.

A further study will be dedicated to the restricted three-body problem, and regularization methods will be applied for a better understanding of the motion, as in Roman & Szücs-Csillik (2014).

Section 2 introduces the potentials generated by continued fractions. It starts with some theoretical results, which allow us to establish a clear difference between the odd and even such potentials. A special attention is given to the fractional potential which includes

the first three terms, namely U_3, whose graph is similar to the Newtonian one for $c_2 > c_1/8$.

In Section 3 we present a zonal potential, which is of great help in modeling, for example, the motion of a satellite moving in the equatorial plane of the Earth.

Section 4 is dedicated to the logarithmic Newtonian potential.

Section 5 is dedicated to the study of the existence and linear stability of circular orbits. We prove that circular orbits can be traced by a body moving in the field generated by the continued fractional potential U_2, and these orbits are linearly stable.

In Section 6 we formulate some concluding remarks.

This qualitative study is useful because it offers a complete description of the potentials, for each value of the parameters involved; therefore in the following attempts to explain phenomena on various scales in the universe, the suitable potentials can be chosen knowing in advance their properties.

2. Potentials generated by continued fractions

We remind some definitions and properties concerning the continued fractions. These can be found, for example, in the book of Battin (1999), where the author consider them as basic topics in analytical dynamics, and emphasize their important role in many aspects of Astrodynamics.

Continued fractions were used at first to approximate irrational numbers, the partial numerators and denominators being then integer numbers. A *continued fraction* is given by the expression

$$\cfrac{a_1}{b_1+\cfrac{a_2}{b_2+\cfrac{a_3}{b_3+\ldots}}} := \frac{a_1}{b_1+}\frac{a_2}{b_2+}\frac{a_3}{b_3+}\ldots, \tag{1}$$

where the partial numerators a_n ($n \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \ldots\}$) and the partial denominators b_n ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) are real (or complex) numbers.

An infinite sequence $(A_n/B_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is associated to the continued fraction (1) in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{A_1}{B_1} = \frac{a_1}{b_1}, \quad \frac{A_2}{B_2} = \frac{a_1}{b_1+}\frac{a_2}{b_2} = \frac{a_1 b_2}{b_1 b_2 + a_2}, \\ &\ldots \\ &\frac{A_n}{B_n} = \frac{a_1}{b_1+}\frac{a_2}{b_2+}\cdots\frac{a_n}{b_n}, \\ &\ldots \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

The fraction A_n/B_n is called a *partial convergent* or simply a *convergent*. The expressions A_n and B_n satisfy the fundamental recurrence formulas

$$\left.\begin{aligned} A_n &= b_n A_{n-1} + a_n A_{n-2} \\ B_n &= b_n B_{n-1} + a_n B_{n-2} \end{aligned}\right\}, n = 1, 2, 3 \ldots \tag{3}$$

with initial conditions

$$A_{-1} = 1, A_0 = 0, B_{-1} = 0, B_0 = 1. \tag{4}$$

This can be easily proved by mathematical induction. If the limit $\lim_{n\to+\infty} A_n/B_n$ exists, it represents the *value of the continued fraction*; otherwise, the continued fraction is divergent.

Following Battin (1999) we mention some interesting monotonicity properties of the sequence A_n/B_n, for $a_n, b_n > 0$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

We calculate

$$\frac{A_{2n+1}}{B_{2n+1}} - \frac{A_{2n-1}}{B_{2n-1}} = -\frac{a_1 a_2 \ldots a_{2n+1} b_{2n+1}}{B_{2n+1} B_{2n-1}} < 0,$$

$$\frac{A_{2n}}{B_{2n}} - \frac{A_{2n-2}}{B_{2n-2}} = \frac{a_1 a_2 \ldots a_{2n} b_{2n}}{B_{2n} B_{2n-2}} > 0,$$

and we remark that the odd convergents decrease, while the even convergents increase. In a similar way, we calculate

$$\frac{A_{2n+1}}{B_{2n+1}} - \frac{A_{2n}}{B_{2n}} = \frac{a_1 a_2 \ldots a_{2n+1}}{B_{2n+1} B_{2n}} > 0$$

and it follows that every even convergent is smaller than every odd convergent.

We can summarize this as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{A_2}{B_2} &< \frac{A_4}{B_4} < \ldots < \frac{A_{2n}}{B_{2n}} < \ldots < \frac{A_{2n+1}}{B_{2n+1}} < \\ &< \ldots < \frac{A_3}{B_3} < \frac{A_1}{B_1}. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Using the idea of continued fractions, Abd El-Salam et al. (2014) considered a perturbation of the Newtonian potential. They started with the continued fraction (1) and put for r, $c_n > 0$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} r &= b_1 = b_2 = \ldots, \\ a_1 &= \mu, \quad a_2 = c_1\mu, \quad a_3 = c_2\mu, \quad \ldots, \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

to obtain

$$\cfrac{\mu}{r + \cfrac{c_1\mu}{r + \cfrac{c_2\mu}{r + \ldots}}} := \frac{\mu}{r+}\frac{c_1\mu}{r+}\frac{c_2\mu}{r+}\ldots. \tag{7}$$

Then, they retained only the first two terms

$$\frac{\mu}{r+}\frac{c_1\mu}{r} = \cfrac{\mu}{r + \cfrac{c_1\mu}{r}} = \frac{\mu r}{r^2 + c_1\mu}$$

in order to obtain the second convergent, and got the potential

$$\frac{\mu r}{r^2 + c_1\mu}, \tag{8}$$

called *continued fractional potential* or simply *fractional potential*. In this formula, when applied to the two-body problem, r stands for the mutual distance between two punctual bodies and μ for the product of the gravitational constant G with the sum of masses m_1 and m_2. In what follows we shall consider $c_1, c_2, \ldots > 0$.

We remark that it is unnecessary and physically unsustained to put the constant μ everywhere in the continuous fraction. In what follows we shall consider a simplified form by mentaining $r = b_1 = b_2 = \ldots$, as in (6), but changing a_n into

$$a_1 = \mu, \quad a_2 = c_1, \quad a_3 = c_2, \quad \ldots.$$

We obtain a simplified form of (7), namely

$$\frac{\mu}{r + \dfrac{c_1}{r + \dfrac{c_2}{r + \ldots}}} := \frac{\mu}{r+}\frac{c_1}{r+}\frac{c_2}{r+}\ldots. \tag{9}$$

It is obvious that from (9) we get as the first convergent the Newtonian potential

$$U_1(r) = \frac{\mu}{r}, \tag{10}$$

by keeping only the first term, and as a second convergent

$$U_2(r) = \frac{\mu r}{r^2 + c_1}. \tag{11}$$

Now, using the first three terms, we obtain the potential

$$U_3(r) = \frac{\mu(r^2 + c_2)}{r\,(r^2 + c_1 + c_2)}. \tag{12}$$

Similarly, using the first four terms, we get the potential

$$U_4(r) = \frac{\mu r(r^2 + c_2 + c_3)}{r^4 + (c_1 + c_2 + c_3)r^2 + c_1 c_3} \tag{13}$$

and so on.

It is known that the Newtonian potential (10), regarded as a function of r defined on $(0, +\infty)$, is decreasing from $+\infty$ to 0. Therefore, it is natural to study the monotonicity of the other fractional potentials and their limits at 0 and $+\infty$.

We begin with the behaviour of the continued fractional potential $U_2 : [0, +\infty) \to [0, +\infty)$, which has $U_2(0) = 0$ and $\lim_{r\to+\infty} U_2(r) = 0$. The first two derivatives of U_2 are respectively

$$U_2'(r) = \frac{\mu(-r^2 + c_1)}{(r^2 + c_1)^2}, U_2''(r) = -\frac{2\mu r(-r^2 + 3c_1)}{(r^2 + c_1)^3}. \tag{14}$$

The first derivative of U_2 has a unique positive root $\sqrt{c_1}$, and the second derivative of U_2 has a root equal to 0 and a positive root $\sqrt{3c_1}$. It follows that the potential U_2 increases from 0 to $\sqrt{1/c_1}/2 = U_2\left(\sqrt{c_1}\right)$ and then it decreases to 0 as $r \to +\infty$, having an inflection point at $\sqrt{3c_1}$. So, whatever the positive value of the constant c_1 is, the graph of the continued fractional potential U_2 looks different from that of the Newtonian potential for r relatively small. The dot graph from Fig. 3 represents U_2 for $c_1 = 0.1$ and $\mu = 1$.

We consider now the continued fractional potential $U_3 : (0, +\infty) \to (0, +\infty)$, for which $\lim_{r\to0+} U_3(r) = +\infty$ and $\lim_{r\to+\infty} U_3(r) = 0$. The first two derivatives of U_3 are respectively

$$\begin{aligned} U_3'(r) &= -\frac{\mu(r^4 - (c_1 - 2c_2)r^2 + c_2(c_1 + c_2))}{r^2\left(r^2 + c_1 + c_2\right)^2}, \\ U_3''(r) &= \frac{2\mu(r^6 - 3(c_1 - c_2)r^4 + 3c_2(c_1 + c_2)r^2)}{r^3(r^2 + c_1 + c_2)^3} \\ &\quad + \frac{2c_2\mu(c_1 + c_2)^2}{r^3(r^2 + c_1 + c_2)}. \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

In order to study the monotonicity of U_3, we denote $r^2 = u$ and consider the numerator of U_3'. The equation

$$u^2 - (c_1 - 2c_2)u + c_2(c_1 + c_2) = 0 \tag{16}$$

has the discriminant $\Delta = c_1(c_1 - 8c_2)$. For $c_2 > c_1/8$, the equation (16) has no real roots, hence $U_3'(r) < 0$; then $U_3(r)$ strictly decreases from $+\infty$ to 0. For $c_2 = c_1/8$, the equation (16) has a double real root $u_{1,2} = 3c_1/8$, hence $U_3'(r) \leq 0$ and $U_3(r)$ strictly decreases from $+\infty$ to 0, and its graph has an inflection point at $r = \sqrt{3c_1/8}$ (where $U_3''(\sqrt{3c_1/8}) = 0$). The last case is $c_2 < c_1/8$, when (16), hence $U_3'(r)$ also, has two distinct positive roots.



Fig. 1.— U_3 for $c_1 = 0.3;\ 0.8;\ 1$ and $c_2 = 0.1,\ \mu = 1$

In this situation, $U_3(r)$ strictly decreases from $+\infty$ to a local minimum, then it strictly increases to a local maximum, and finally decreases to 0.

We illustrate in Fig. 1 these cases for U_3 with $\mu = 1$ and

a) $c_1 = 0.3$, $c_2 = 0.1$ (dash);

b) $c_1 = 0.8$, $c_2 = 0.1$ (dot);

c) $c_1 = 1$, $c_2 = 0.1$ (solid).

In conclusion, the continued fractional potential U_3 has three kinds of graphs, depending on the values of the coefficients c_1 and c_2. The graph of U_3 is similar to that of the Newtonian potential for $c_2 > c_1/8$. We emphasize that the behaviour of U_3 in the vicinity of 0, for any $c_1,\ c_2 > 0$, is similar to that of the Newtonian potential, in contrast with that of U_2 given in (12).

It follows that a good choice for a continued fractional potential, which is close to the Newtonian one and still easy to handle, is U_3 with $c_2 > c_1/8$.



Fig. 2.— *Raduga-1M 2* satellite orbit using continued fractional potential U_3, $r = \sqrt{q_1^2 + q_2^2}$

We consider the continued fractional potential U_3 with $c_1 = 0.0001$ and $c_2 = 0.00002$, and we apply it for *Raduga-1M 2* GEO satellite with semi-major axis 42164 km and the period of revolution 1436 minutes. The orbit is displayed in Fig. 2. This choice of the small parameters c_1 and c_2 is situated in the range $c_2 > c_1/8$, so that the potential U_3 is very similar to the Newtonian one.

It can be easily checked that

$$U_1(r) - U_3(r) > 0, \quad U_4(r) - U_2(r) > 0. \tag{17}$$

In fact, from relation (5) we obtain that in general

$$U_2(r) < U_4(r) < \ldots < U_{2n}(r) < \ldots < U_{2n+1}(r) < \ldots < U_3(r) < U_1(r). \tag{18}$$

In Fig. 3 we plot the graphs of the first four continued fractional potentials U_1 (dash), U_2 (dot), U_3 (dashdot), and U_4 (solid) for $\mu = 1$, $c_1 = c_2 = c_3 = 0.1$.



Fig. 3.— $U_1,\ U_2,\ U_3,\ U_4$ for $c_1 = c_2 = c_3 = 0.1$ and $\mu = 1$

3. On the zonal potential of Earth

Until now we considered the motion of two punctual masses m_1 and m_2. In the case of the very important problem of the motion of artificial satellites around the Earth, the Earth cannot be approximated by a point in order to obtain a good model of a satellite's motion; a good enough approximation is that of a spheroid.

It is known (King-Hele et al. 1963; Roy 2005) that if it is assumed that the Earth is a spheroid (i. e. we neglect the tesseral and sectorial harmonics), then its potential may be written as a series of zonal harmonics of the form

$$U_{zon} = \frac{\mu}{r}\left(1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} J_n \left(\frac{R}{r}\right)^n P_n(\sin\phi)\right), \tag{19}$$

where $\mu = GM$ is the product of the gravitational constant G with the mass of the Earth M, R is the equatorial radius of the Earth and J_n are the zonal harmonic coefficients due to the oblateness of the Earth. The coordinates of the satellite are the distance to the center of the Earth r and its latitude ϕ, and $P_n(\cdot)$ are the Legendre polynomials of degree n. Equation (19) does not take into account the small variation of U_{zon} with longitude.

Because the coefficients J_n, $n > 2$, are much smaller than J_2, a good approximation of the zonal potential of Earth is

$$U_{zonal} = \frac{\mu}{r}\left[1 - \frac{1}{2}J_2\left(\frac{R}{r}\right)^2(3\sin^2\phi - 1)\right]. \tag{20}$$

In order to compare it with the Newtonian and continued fractional potentials, we consider equatorial orbits, with $\phi = 0$. The potential is then of the type

$$U = \frac{\mu}{r} + \frac{\mu c}{r^3}, \quad c > 0, \tag{21}$$

with $c = R^2 J_2/2$.

We remark that U is an inhomogeneous potential. Such potentials, in relation with the families of orbits generated by them, are studied by Bozis et al. (1997).

The potential U has $\lim_{r\to 0+} U(r) = +\infty$ and $\lim_{r\to+\infty} U(r) = 0$. The first two derivatives are respectively

$$U'(r) = -\frac{\mu(r^2+3c)}{r^4}, \quad U''(r) = \frac{2\mu(r^2+6c)}{r^5}. \tag{22}$$

The first derivative of U is negative and its second derivative is positive on $(0, +\infty)$, hence the potential U decreases from its limit in 0, which is $+\infty$, to its limit 0 as $r \to +\infty$. Therefore, the zonal potential U has a graph similar to the Newtonian one, as it may be seen in Fig. 4, for $\mu = 1$ and $c = 1$. For the sake of completeness, we study also the case of $c < 0$. The first derivative of U has now the positive root $\sqrt{-3c}$, and the second derivative of V has the positive root $\sqrt{-6c}$. It follows that the potential U increases from its limit in 0, which is equal with $-\infty$ to $U\left(\sqrt{-3c}\right) > 0$ and then it decreases to 0 as $r \to +\infty$, having an inflection point at $\sqrt{-6c}$. So, whatever the negative value of the constant c is, the graph of the zonal-type potential V looks different from that of the Newtonian potential.

The fact that the zonal potential U, for positive c, has the graph similar to that of the Newtonian potential, while the fractional potential U_2 has the dotted graph from Fig.



Fig. 4.— The zonal potential U for $c = 1$ and $\mu = 1$

3, explains difference of the corresponding plotted graphs for low and medium altitudes in Figs. 4 - 5 of Abd El-Salam et al. (2014).

Applying the zonal potential U for the *Raduga-1M 2* satellite motion, we obtain the trajectory displayed in Fig. 5.

4. Logarithmically corrected potential

Fabris & Pereira Campos (2009) have analyzed the rotation curves of some spiral galaxies, using a disc modelization, with a Newtonian potential corrected with an extra logarithmic term. More recently, Ragos et al. (2013) have taken into account the effects in the anomalistic period of celestial bodies due to the same logarithmic correction to the Newtonian gravitational potential. We shall compare this corrected potential with the Newtonian one. The corrected potential $V : (0, +\infty) \to (0, +\infty)$ is given by

$$V(r) = \frac{\mu}{r} + \mu\alpha \log(r) . \tag{23}$$



Fig. 5.— *Raduga-1M 2* satellite motion using the zonal potential U, $r = \sqrt{q_1^2 + q_2^2}$

Its first two derivatives are respectively

$$V'(r) = \frac{\mu(\alpha r - 1)}{r^2}, \quad V''(r) = -\frac{\mu(\alpha r - 2)}{r^3}. \tag{24}$$

Let us consider that the coefficient α is positive. Then $\lim_{r\to 0+} V(r) = +\infty$ and $\lim_{r\to+\infty} V(r) = +\infty$. The first derivative of V has the positive root $1/\alpha$, and the second derivative of V has the positive root $2/\alpha$. It follows that the potential V decreases from its limit in 0, which is equal with $+\infty$ to $\alpha(1 - \log(\alpha)) = V(1/\alpha)$ and then it increases to $+\infty$ as $r \to +\infty$, having an inflection point at $2/\alpha$. So, whatever the positive value of the constant α is, the graph of the logarithmically corrected potential V looks different from that of the Newtonian potential. We illustrate in Fig. 6 the shape of V for $\mu = \alpha = 1$. For a negative α, we have $\lim_{r\to 0+} V(r) = +\infty$, but $\lim_{r\to+\infty} V(r) = -\infty$. The first derivative of V is negative and its second derivative is positive on $(0, +\infty)$, hence the potential V decreases from its limit in 0, which is also equal with $+\infty$, to its limit $-\infty$ as $r \to +\infty$. We represent in Fig. 7 the potential V for $\mu = 1$ and $\alpha = -1$. We consider the logaritmically corrected potential V with $\alpha = -0.0001$, and we apply it for the motion of a star from the dynamical system like "Milky-way" spiral galaxy with initial position at 8 kpc, initial



Fig. 6.— The logarithmically corrected potential V for $\mu = \alpha = 1$

velocity 572 kpc/Gyr and the period of revolution 0.04 Gyr. The orbit is displayed in Fig. 8.

5. Circular orbits in the continued fractional potential U_2

Circular orbits appear in the motion of the equatorial satellites, of some planets in various planetary systems, or of stars in galaxies. Therefore it is important to study if they can be traced in the continued fractional fields. We shall prove that such orbits can be traced by a body moving in the field produced by the continued fractional potential U_2, which is a generalization of the Newtonian potential. The existence and the stability of circular orbits in a Maneff field was studied recently by Blaga (2015).

We consider a two-body problem with a primary body of mass M and a secondary one of mass m, under the influence of the continued fractional potential U_2. The potential is central, so the two-body problem may be reduced to a central force one, and we shall study the relative motion of the secondary body. The motion is planar and it is governed, in polar



Fig. 7.— The logarithmically corrected potential V for $\mu = 1$ and $\alpha = -1$

coordinates $r = \sqrt{q_1^2 + q_2^2}$, $\theta = \tan^{-1}(q_2/q_1)$, by the equations

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{r} - r\dot{\theta}^2 &= U_2'(r) \\ \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\,t}(r^2\dot{\theta}) &= 0, \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

where U_2' is given by (14) with $\mu = GM$ and c_1 is a positive constant.

From the second equation we get

$$r^2\dot{\theta} = h, \tag{26}$$

where h is a constant.

For $h \neq 0$, we try for a constant solution $r = r_0$, $r_0 \neq 0$ for the equations (25). For such a solution, equation (26) gives $\dot{\theta} = h/r_0^2 = \omega_0$. The first equation of (25) reads for $r(t) = r_0$:

$$h^2 + r_0^3 U_2'(r)|_{r=r_0} = 0. \tag{27}$$

If this equation admits a solution $r_0 > 0$, it means that a circular orbit $r(t) = r_0$ is possible, the secondary pursuing the orbit with constant angular velocity $\omega_0 = h/r_0^2$.



Fig. 8.— Motion of a star in a dynamical system like "Milky way" spiral galaxy using the logarithmically corrected potential V for $\alpha = -0.0001$

Such a circular orbit is linearly stable if

$$U_2''(r)|_{r=r_0} + \frac{3}{r_0} U_2'(r)|_{r=r_0} < 0, \tag{28}$$

this condition being obtained by developing U_2 around r_0 up to the first-order terms (Whittaker 1917; Roy 2005).

By applying this reasoning for the Newtonian potential given by (10), with $\mu > 0$, we obtain easily that the circular orbit $r_N = h^2/\mu$ obtained from the equation corresponding to (27) is linearly stable, since

$$U_1''(r)|_{r=r_N} + \frac{3}{r_0} U_1'(r)|_{r=r_N} = -\frac{\mu}{r_N^3} < 0.$$

We study now the case of the continued fractional potential U_2 given by (11), with $\mu, c_1 > 0$. Equation (27), where we denote shortly $r = r_0$, reads

$$h^2 + r^3 \frac{\mu(c_1 - r^2)}{(r^2 + c_1)^2} < 0.$$

This is equivalent with

$$-\mu r^5 + h^2 r^4 + \mu c_1 r^3 + 2 c_1 h^2 r^2 + h^2 c_1^2 = 0 \tag{29}$$

and this fifth degree equation cannot be solved in general. Nevertheless, we remark that all the coefficients, excepting that of r^5, are positive. It follows that there is precisely one change of sign in the row of the coefficients. Applying the Descartes rule of signs (Korn & Korn 2000) it follows that equation (29) has at most one positive solution. But for $r = 0$ the left hand side of (29) is equal to $h^2c_1^2 > 0$, and its limit to infinity is $-\infty$, hence equation (29) has a unique solution $r = r_0$. Moreover, for $r_N = h^2/\mu$ the left hand side of (29) is positive, which means that $r_0 > r_N$, i. e. the radius of the circular orbit in the fractional potential U_2 is greater than the similar one traced in the Newtonian potential.

To get information on the stability of the unique circular orbit $r = r_0$, we calculate the left hand side of (28), using the expressions of the derivatives of U_2 from (14):

$$U_2''(r) + \frac{3}{r}U_2'(r) = -\mu\frac{r^4 + 6c_1r^2 - 3c_1^2}{r(r^2 + c_1)^3}. \tag{30}$$

The sign of this expression is given by the sign of

$$g(r) = -r^4 - 6c_1r^2 + 3c_1^2.$$

This polynomial of fourth degree in r has a unique positive root

$$r_1 = \sqrt{c_1(2\sqrt{3} - 3)}, \tag{31}$$

hence it has positive values on the interval $(0, r_1)$ and negative ones on $(r_1, +\infty)$.

We have proved that the fifth degree polynomial in (29) is positive on $(0, r_0)$ and negative on $(r_0, +\infty)$. We calculate the value of that polynomial for r_1 given by (31) and get:

$$\mu r_1^3(c_1 - r_1^2) + h^2(r_1^4 + 2c_1r_1^2 + c_1^2) =$$
$$\mu r_1^3(c_1 - c_1(2\sqrt{3} - 3)) + h^2(r_1^2 + c_1)^2 =$$
$$2(2 - \sqrt{3})\mu c_1 r_1^3 + h^2(r_1^2 + c_1)^2 > 0.$$

It follows that $r_1 < r_0$, hence $r_0 \in (r_1, +\infty)$ and $g(r_0) < 0$. Then

$$U_2''(r_0) + \frac{3}{r}U_2'(r_0) < 0$$

and the orbit $r = r_0$ is linearly stable.

6. Conclusion

The main properties of the Newtonian potential are preserved by some of its corrected potentials: the continued fractional potential U_3 given by (12), for $c_2 > c_1/8 > 0$; the zonal potential of Earth U given by (21). It is worth noting that in the case of the continued fraction potential U_3 we have at our disposal two parameters c_1 and c_2. These can be used to adjust the potential when we have information on the motion of the satellite. The figures illustrate the possible situations which have been proved analytically.

For the continued fractional potential U_2 it is proved that circular orbits exist and are linearly stable.

We remark a strong feature of the continued fractional potentials: they have a simple analytical form, being rational functions, hence they can be easily handled in further applications.

The authors are deeply indebted to the reviewers and to the editor for their valuable comments and suggestions.

The work of the second author was partially supported by a grant of the Romanian National Authority for Scientific Research, CNDI-UEFISCDI, project number PN-II-PT-PCCA-2011-3.2-0651 (AMHEOS).

REFERENCES

Abd El-Salam, F. A., Abd El-Bar, S. E., Rasem, M., & Alamri, S. Z. 2014, Ap&SS, 350, 507

Anisiu, M.-C. 2007, In Dumitrache, C., Popescu, N. A., Suran, D. M., & Mioc, V. (eds.). AIP Conference Proceedings 895, 308

Battin, R. H. 1999, An Introduction to the Mathematics and Methods of Astrodynamics. AIAA, Reston

Blaga, C. 2015, Romanian Astron. J., 25, 233

Bozis, G., Anisiu, M.-C., & Blaga, C. 1997, Astron. Nachr. 318, 313

Diacu, F., Mioc, V., & Stoica, C. 2000, Nonlinear Analysis, 41, 1029

Fabris, J. C., & Pereira Campos, J. 2009, General Relativity and Gravitation, 41, 93

King-Hele, D. G., Cook, G. E., & Rees, J. M. 1963, Geophys. J. Int., 8, 119

Kinney, W. H., & Brisudova, M. 2001, Annals of the New York Academy of Science, 927, 127

Korn, G. A., & Korn, T. M. 2000, Mathematical handbook for scientists and engineers: Definitions, theorems, and formulas for reference and review. Courier Corporation

Maneff, G. 1924, Comptes Rendus, 178, 2159

Mücket, J.P., & Treder, H.-J. 1977, Astron. Nachr., 298, 65

Ragos, O., Haranas, I., & Gkigkitzis, I. 2013, Ap&SS, 345, 67

Roman, R., & Szücs-Csillik, I. 2014, Ap&SS, 349, 117

Roy, A. E. 2004, Orbital motion. Bristol: CRC

Seeliger, H. 1895, Astron. Nachr., 137, 129

Szücs-Csillik, I., & Roman, R. 2013, Workshop on *Cosmical Phenomena That Affect Earth And Their Effects*, October 18, 2013 Bucharest

Whittaker, E. T. 1917, A treatise on the analytical dynamics of particles and rigid bodies. Cambridge University Press

This manuscript was prepared with the AAS LaTeX macros v5.2.